

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

	APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
	10/785,005	02/25/2004	Shigeru Fujita	SON-2612/DIV	9742	
	23353	7590 09/05/2006	EXAMINER			
		HMAN & GRAUER	PLLC	LE, THAO X		
LION BUILDING 1233 20TH STREET N.W., SUITE 501			))	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		ON, DC 20036		2814		

DATE MAILED: 09/05/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

$\mathcal{W}$							
Application No.	Applicant(s)						
10/785,005	FUJITA, SHIGERU						
Examiner	Art Unit						
Thao X. Le	2814						

The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover shee	et with the co	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>23 August 2006</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	APPLICATION IN COND	ITION FOR	ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or of this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No. Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant	owing replies: (1) an amo otice of Appeal (with ap	endment, affi peal fee) in c	davit, or other evider ompliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliar time periods:		The reply mu	ist be filed within one	of the following
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing dat	<del>,</del>			
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire	later than SIX MONTHS fr	om the mailing	date of the final rejecti	on.
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) o TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP	706.07(f).			
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The dat have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of e under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office lat may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	xtension and the correspore shortened statutory period er than three months after the state of t	nding amount of I for reply origin	of the fee. The appropring the first officers of the first officers officers officers officers officers officers of the first officers officers officers o	ate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comfiling the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any ext</li> </ol>				
a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be file  AMENDMENTS				с аррсан отнес
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection	but prior to the date of	filing a brief	will not be entered b	ecalice
(a) They raise new issues that would require further c	•	•		ecause
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE bel		1011 (300 110 1	L 501011),	
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or		materially rec	ducing or simplifying	the issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number	of finally reje	ected claims	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a))		o,a., . o,c	otto a otalii io.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.		e of Non-Cor	mnliant Amendment	(DTOL_324)
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s		e 01 14011-001	inpliant Americanent	(I TOL-324).
6. ☐ Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a		a congrate t	timely filed amondme	nt concoling the
non-allowable claim(s).		•		_
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a how the new or amended claims would be rejected is properties that the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			be entered and an e	explanation of
Claim(s) allowed:				
Claim(s) objected to:				
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1,4,13 and 15</u> .				
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:				
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE				
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, because applicant failed to provide a showing of good a was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>	out before or on the date nd sufficient reasons wh	of filing a No ny the affidavi	tice of Appeal will <u>no</u> it or other evidence i	ot be entered s necessary and
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filin entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar</li> </ol>	overcome all rejections	under appea	al and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanati	•			•
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER  11. ☑ The request for reconsideration has been consi because:	dered but does NOT pla	ice the applic	cation in condition for	allowance
See Continuation Sheet.				
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)	. (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-14	449) Paper N	o(s)	
13. Other:		, -, -	.,	
			-	
			Thao X. Le 29 Aug. 2006	

Application No. 10/785,005

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The Applicant's argument is not persuasive. Hegde discloses layer 106 is a metal oxide (metal = group IIIA= Al), col. 2 lines 25-30 and layer 108 is a oxide and may includes nitrogen, col. 3 line 22.